





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,158	02/26/2004	Andrew Jay Bean	3638-116 (AMK)	9151
23117 7590 12/03/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			EXAMINER	
901 NORTH C	SLEBE ROAD, 11TH FL	LOOR	CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3634	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/786,158	BEAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	:	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in an any be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
2a) <u></u> 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Secondary</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Econdary	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
·			·			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
10) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	t(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dal 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

10/786,158

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finley et al. in view of Capacity Control System and Wacht. Finley shows the claimed system with the exception of the selector switch for switching between a high and low load capacity and limit switches. Capacity Control System teaches the use of a selector switch for selectively switching between a low load and high load capacity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Finley to comprise a selector switch, as taught by Capacity control System, for switching operation between a high and low load operation, and to comprise limit switches, as taught by Wacht, for sensing the extension limits of his boom. The system of Finley is capable of prevent lifting and lowering between the range, as set forth in claim 3, and permit functioning, as set forth in claims 6 and 7.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finley et al., Capacity Control System and Wacht, as applied to claim 1 above, and

10/786,158

Art Unit: 3634

further in view of Bodtke et al. Bodtke teaches a lift vehicle with a tower boom. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Finley to comprise a tower boom, as taught by Bodtke, to enhance the reach of his platform.

Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5 and 12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 571-272-6828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/786,158

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alvin C. Chin-Shue Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

ACS